Equality Analysis Form

September 2018

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose of Equality Analysis

The council has an important role in creating a fair society through the services we provide, the people we employ and the money we spend. Equality is integral to everything the council does. We are committed to making Croydon a stronger, fairer borough where no community or individual is held back.

Undertaking an Equality Analysis helps to determine whether a proposed change will have a positive, negative, or no impact on groups that share a protected characteristic. Conclusions drawn from Equality Analyses helps us to better understand the needs of all our communities, enable us to target services and budgets more effectively and also helps us to comply with the Equality Act 2010.

An equality analysis must be completed as early as possible during the planning stages of any proposed change to ensure information gained from the process is incorporated in any decisions made.

In practice, the term 'proposed change' broadly covers the following:-

- Policies, strategies and plans;
- Projects and programmes;
- Commissioning (including re-commissioning and de-commissioning);
- Service review:
- Budget allocation/analysis;
- Staff restructures (including outsourcing);
- · Business transformation programmes;
- Organisational change programmes;
- Processes (for example thresholds, eligibility, entitlements, and access criteria.

2. Proposed change

Directorate	Place
Title of proposed change	High Street Croydon – removal of motor vehicle traffic between Park
	Street and Katherine Street.
Name of Officer carrying out Equality Analysis	Sue Ritchie

2.1 Purpose of proposed change (see 1.1 above for examples of proposed changes)

High Street, Croydon is being closed to motor vehicle traffic to provide a new public space. Changes to bus stop locations to facilitate this mean that bus stops are now around 200 yards further away from the High Street and notably the post office. This impacts on those with reduced mobility as they will have a longer journey to access the post office and other popular destinations such as Surrey Street market, North End shops.

3. Impact of the proposed change

Important Note: It is necessary to determine how each of the protected groups could be impacted by the proposed change. Summarise any positive impacts or benefits, any negative impacts and any neutral impacts and the evidence you have taken into account to reach this conclusion. Be aware that there may be positive, negative and neutral impacts within each characteristic.

Where an impact is unknown, state so. If there is insufficient information or evidence to reach a decision you will need to gather appropriate quantitative and qualitative information from a range of sources e.g. Croydon Observatory a useful source of information such as Borough Strategies and Plans, Borough and Ward Profiles, Joint Strategic Health Needs Assessments http://www.croydonobservatory.org/ Other sources include performance monitoring reports, complaints, survey data, audit reports, inspection reports, national research and feedback gained through engagement with service users, voluntary and community organisations and contractors.

3.1 Deciding whether the potential impact is positive or negative

Table 1 – Positive/Negative impact

For each protected characteristic group show whether the impact of the proposed change on service users and/or staff is positive or negative by briefly outlining the nature of the impact in the appropriate column. If it is decided that analysis is not relevant to some groups, this should be recorded and explained. In all circumstances you should list the source of the evidence used to make this judgement where possible.

Protected characteristic group(s)	Positive impact	Negative impact	Source of evidence
Age		Longer journey on foot to access the post office and popular destinations such as Surrey Street market, North End shops.	Croydon Mobility Forum members feedback
Disability		Longer journey on foot/by wheelchair to access the post office and popular destinations such as Surrey Street market, North End shops.	Croydon Mobility Forum members feedback
Gender			

Gender Reassignment		
Marriage or Civil Partnership		
Religion or belief		
Race		
Sexual Orientation		
Pregnancy or Maternity	Longer journey on foot to access the post office and popular destinations such as Surrey Street market, North End shops.	Croydon Mobility Forum members feedback

Important note: You must act to eliminate any potential negative impact which, if it occurred would breach the Equality Act 2010. In some situations this could mean abandoning your proposed change as you may not be able to take action to mitigate all negative impacts.

When you act to reduce any negative impact or maximise any positive impact, you must ensure that this does not create a negative impact on service users and/or staff belonging to groups that share protected characteristics. Please use table 4 to record actions that will be taken to remove or minimise any potential negative impact

3.2 Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

Table 2 – Additional information needed to determine impact of proposed change

No further information required		
Additional information needed and or Consultation Findings	Information source	Date for completion

For guidance and support with consultation and engagement visit https://intranet.croydon.gov.uk/working-croydon/communications/consultation-and-engagement/starting-engagement-or-consultation

3.3 Impact scores

Example

If we are going to reduce parking provision in a particular location, officers will need to assess the equality impact as follows;

- 1. Determine the Likelihood of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the likelihood of impact score is 2 (likely to impact)
- 2. Determine the Severity of impact. You can do this by using the key in table 5 as a guide, for the purpose of this example, the Severity of impact score is also 2 (likely to impact)
- 3. Calculate the equality impact score using table 4 below and the formula **Likelihood x Severity** and record it in table 5, for the purpose of this example **Likelihood** (2) x **Severity** (2) = 4

Table 4 - Equality Impact Score

Severity of Impact				-
ırit		1	2	3
/ of	1	1	2	3
dшl	2	2	4	6
act	3	3	6	9

Key	
Risk Index	Risk Magnitude
6 – 9	High
3 – 5	Medium
1 – 3	Low



Table 3 - Impact scores

Table 3 – Impact scores			
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4
PROTECTED GROUP	LIKELIHOOD OF IMPACT SCORE	SEVERITY OF IMPACT SCORE	EQUALITY IMPACT SCORE
	Use the key below to score the likelihood of the proposed change impacting each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group. 1 = Unlikely to impact 2 = Likely to impact 3 = Certain to impact	Use the key below to score the severity of impact of the proposed change on each of the protected groups, by inserting either 1, 2, or 3 against each protected group. 1 = Unlikely to impact 2 = Likely to impact 3 = Certain to impact	Calculate the equality impact score for each protected group by multiplying scores in column 2 by scores in column 3. Enter the results below against each protected group. Equality impact score = likelihood of impact score x severity of impact score.
Age	3	2	6
Disability	3	2	6
Gender	1	1	1
Gender reassignment	1	1	1
Marriage / Civil Partnership	1	1	1
Race	1	1	1
Religion or belief	1	1	1
Sexual Orientation	1	1	1
Pregnancy or Maternity	3	2	6

4. Statutory duties



Public Sector Duties Tick the relevant box(es) to indicate whether the proposed change will adversely impact the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties in the Equality Act 2010 set out below. Advancing equality of opportunity between people who belong to protected groups Eliminating unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation Fostering good relations between people who belong to protected characteristic groups Important note: If the proposed change adversely impacts the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out above, mitigating actions must be outlined in the Action Plan in section 5 below. In order to mitigate the impact on those with reduced mobility 3 disabled parking bays were introduced in Park Street (western section) to assist in accessing the post office, shops, to compensate for relocating bus stops further away.



5. Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts of proposed change

Important note: Describe what alternatives have been considered and/or what actions will be taken to remove or minimise any potential negative impact identified above (table 1). Attach evidence or provide link to appropriate data, reports, etc.):

Table 4 – Action Plan to mitigate negative impacts

Complete this table to show	w any negative impacts identified for ser	rvice users and/or staff from protected gr	oups, and planned ac	tions mitigate them.
Protected characteristic	Negative impact	Mitigating action(s)	Action owner	Date for completion
Disability	Longer journey on foot to access the post office and popular destinations such as Surrey Street market, North End shops.			Already completed
Race				
Sex (gender)				
Gender reassignment				
Sexual orientation				
Age	Longer journey on foot to access the post office and popular destinations such as Surrey Street market, North End shops.	To mitigate the impact on those with reduced mobility 3 disabled parking bays were introduced in Park Street (western section) to assist in accessing the post office and town centre shops		Already completed
Religion or belief				
Pregnancy or maternity	Longer journey on foot to access the post office and popular destinations such as Surrey Street market, North End shops.	To mitigate the impact on those with reduced mobility 3 disabled parking bays were introduced in Park Street (western section) to assist in accessing the post office and town centre shops		Already completed



Marriage/civil partnership		

6. Decision on the proposed change

Based on the i	Based on the information outlined in this Equality Analysis enter X in column 3 (Conclusion) alongside the relevant statement to show your conclusion.			
Decision	Defini	tion	Conclusion - Mark 'X' below	
No major change	Our analysis demonstrates that the policy is robust. The evidence shows no potential for discrimination and we have taken all opportunities to advance equality and foster good relations, subject to continuing monitoring and review. If you reach this conclusion, state your reasons and briefly outline the evidence used to support your decision.			
Adjust the proposed change	We will take steps to lessen the impact of the proposed change should it adversely impact the Council's ability to meet any of the Public Sector Duties set out under section 4 above, remove barriers or better promote equality. We are going to take action to ensure these opportunities are realised. (Note – mitigation is already in place).		Х	
Continue the proposed change	we the We will adopt or continue with the change, despite potential for adverse impact or opportunities to lessen the impact of discrimination, harassment or victimisation and better advance equality and foster good relations between groups through			
Stop or amend the proposed change would have adverse effects on one or more protected groups that are not justified and cannot be mitigated. Our proposed change must be stopped or amended. Change				
Will this decision be considered at a scheduled meeting? e.g. Contracts and Commissioning Board (CCB) / Cabinet Meeting title: Traffic Management Advisory Committee Date: 5 February 2019				

7. Sign-Off

Officers that must approve this decision	



Equalities Lead	Name:	Yvonne Okiyo	Date: 08.01.19
	Position:		
D:	<u></u>		D 1 00 01 10
Director	Name:	Lee Parker	Date: 09.01.19
	Position: Pro	gramme Director, Growth	

